

Docket No. F-7296

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
EXAMINING GROUP 1615****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant : Shokyu GEN  
Serial No. : 10/060,588  
Filed : January 30, 2002  
For : BIO-DECOMPOSABLE POLYMER COMPOSITION  
SHOWING GOOD THERMAL DECOMPOSITION  
Group Art Unit : 1615  
Examiner : Carlos A. Azpuru  
Confirmation No. : 8285  
Customer No. : 000028107

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 04 2004

**OFFICIAL**Certificate of Facsimile Transmission Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted in accordance with 37 CFR §1.6(d) to the United States Patent Office addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on August 4, 2004 to facsimile no. (703) 872-9306.

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED: 3  
PLEASE TRANSMIT ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO 212 953 7733

Frank J. Jordan  
(Name)

  
(Signature and Date)

08/04/04

MAIL STOP AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**CONFIRMATION OF INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER**

P7296 resp to advisory action (PC 4) wpd

Docket No. F-7296

Ser. No. 10/060,588

Sir:

This confirms the telephone interview with Examiner Carlos A. Azpuru on August 4, 2004. During the interview, the Advisory Action dated July 13, 2004 was discussed.

During the interview Counsel (Frank J. Jordan) pointed out that in the Final Rejection dated March 4, 2004, that claims 10, 23, 28 and 29 were not rejected on the cited prior art and further, that the Examiner had indicated that these claims were objected to as being dependent on independent base claims. Accordingly, in the Amendment After Final dated June 4, 2004, applicant submitted these claims in independent form. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claims 10, 23, 28 and 29 are allowable since they were not rejected on the cited prior art and since they are now in proper independent form. Each of claims 7, 8, 15 to 18, and 30 to 33 depend directly or indirectly on one of the four above mentioned indicated allowable independent claims 10, 23, 28 or 29. Accordingly, these latter dependent claims are therefore considered allowable. With regard to the above, in the Advisory Action dated July 13, 2004, the Examiner indicated that the aforementioned independent claims 10, 23, 28 and 29 were rejected under Peterson et al.

The above was discussed with the Examiner during the interview on April 4, 2004 and the Examiner suggested the Counsel submit a paper confirming the interview and pointing out the above matters.

Docket No. F-7296

Ser. No. 10/060,588

In view of the above, it is believed that all of the claims in this application, that is, claims 7, 8, 10, 15 to 18, 23 and 28 to 33 are in condition for allowance. During the interview the Examiner indicated that the aforementioned claims would be allowed upon submission of this instant paper. Accordingly, issuance of the Notice of Allowance for claims 7, 8, 10, 15 to 18, 23 and 28 to 33 is respectfully requested.


This Amendment is being transmitted by fax to the fax number indicated by the Examiner.

It is believed that there is no charge for filing this paper nor is there any charge for extensions. Nevertheless, if there is, please charge to Deposit Account 10-1250.

Respectfully submitted,

JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

By

  
Frank J. Jordan  
Reg. No. 20,456  
Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP  
122 East 42nd Street  
New York, New York 10168  
(212) 986-2340

FJJ/cj